

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/722,454	11/28/2000	Yoichi Ando	35.C14179	4782
5514	7590 06/05/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
	ELLER PLAZA , NY 10112		SANTIAGO, MARICELI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/722,454	ANDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mariceli Santiago	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communical	tion.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Man	rch 12, 2003 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			s is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-101 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-101 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		disapproved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been	n received in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		ation)			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro			ucioi1 <i>j</i> .			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_•			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						





DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-70 and 79-101, drawn to a method of manufacturing an electron beam device, classified in class 445, subclass 24.
- II. Claims 71-74, drawn to a method of manufacturing a plate type image forming apparatus, classified in class 445, subclass 3.
- III. Claims 75-78, drawn to a device for manufacturing a plate type image forming apparatus, classified in class 445, subclass 63.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II or III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art (1) as shown by their different classification, and (2) because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.





Furthermore, the claims directed to Invention I are further restricted for the following reasons.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: Claims 1-21, 79 and 80 pertaining to Fig. 7A,

Species 2: Claims 22-26 pertaining to Fig. 24,

Species 3: Claims 27-29 and 56-62,

Species 4: Claims 30-41 pertaining to Fig. 46,

Species 5: Claims 42-55 pertaining to Fig. 62,

Species 6: Claims 63-70 pertaining to Figs. 83A-83B, and

Species 7: Claims 81-101 pertaining to Figs. 90A-90B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).



Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariceli Santiago whose telephone number is (703) 305-1083. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382. Additionally, the following fax phone numbers can be used during the prosecution of this application (703) 872-9318 (for response before a Final Action) and (703) 872-9319 (for response after a Final Action).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mariceli Santiago Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879

Kenneth J. Ramsey
Primary Examiner